UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,550	03/08/2007	Hisae Kume	SPO.129	4478
23557 7590 09/03/2010 SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION PO Por 142050			EXAMINER	
			DUBOIS, PHILIP A	
PO Box 142950 GAINESVILLE, FL 32614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

euspto@slspatents.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/593,550	KUME ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		PHILIP DUBOIS	1781			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Au	iaust 2010				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2010</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
′=	<i>,</i> —					
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayre, 1955 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)🖂	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/593,550 Page 2

Art Unit: 1781

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected for reciting the phrase "constituent combination of any one of any one of (a) to (c)", as it is unclear as to what ingredients and amounts fall within the scope of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over IZVEKOVA.
- 6. IZVEKOVA is cited for the reasons noted in the previous Official Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,550 Page 3

Art Unit: 1781

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed August 7, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 8. The applicant traverses the obviousness rejection in view of IZEKOVA on the grounds that the reference does not teach or suggest the currently-claimed antibacterial composition, which has a pH of 4.6 or less. In addition, the applicant argues that there is no evidence that pH is recognized as a result-effective variable for achieving antibacterial effects, whereas the present application provides evidence of unexpected results. Therefore, the applicant finds no reason to optimize the pH values in the composition to arrive at the currently-claimed composition.
- 9. However, it is respectfully noted that while the IZVEKOVA does not explicitly disclose a pH or 4.6 or less. IZVEKOVA does teach that the composition is an acid composition. Furthermore, the reference teaches that is preferable that the acid prepared using a fermented dairy product. In particular, IZVEKOVA teaches that the fermented products are prepared by introducing an appropriate amount of the novel culture into milk and "allowing fermentation to proceed at an appropriate temperature and time to obtain a suitable acidity (see col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 4). At col. 5, lines 20-25, it is noted that the fermented milk product may contain up to 56% of organic acids (in terms of lactic acid). At col 6., lines 50-56, the reference discusses increasing the amount of lactic acid to obtain a product suitable for medicinal purposes. Thus, the product is an acidic product and one skilled in the art would have been motivated to increase the acidity of the product to a obtain a product suitable for medicinal use.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,550

Page 4

Art Unit: 1781

10. Additionally, differences in concentration or temperature generally do not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) see MPEP 2144.05. It is respectfully noted that the present invention and IZVEKOVA both rely on a lactic acid fermentation to obtain an acidic product (see Figure 4). In this regard, it is unclear how the results set forth in the present application are unexpected and the applicant is most respectfully reminded that any differences between the claimed invention and the prior art may be expected to result in some differences in properties. The issue is whether the properties differ to such an extent that the difference is really unexpected. see MPEP 716.02

11. In view of the above, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/593,550 Page 5

Art Unit: 1781

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PHILIP DUBOIS whose telephone number is (571) 272-6107. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30am-7:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keith Hendricks can be reached on (571) 272-1401. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/PHILIP DUBOIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 1781

/Keith D. Hendricks/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1781